

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

h)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,114	11/26/2003		Anand Hariraj Udupa	TI-37239	1319	
23494	7590	01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
		ENTS INCORPOR	ANDUJAR, LEONARDO			
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	·			2826		
				DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/723,114	UDUPA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Leonardo Andújar	2826

zororo uro r ming or un rippour ziror	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonardo Andújar	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIST. <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	e of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	FIRST REPLY WAS F 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ILED WITHIN te extension fee late extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co ):  llowable if submitted in a separate,  will not be entered, or b)  will will not be entered, or b)	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ncerbecause:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Leonardo Andigar Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2826	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Ibnabdeljalil clearly teaches that the first scribe 104 prevents the movement of ions into the integrated circuit (col. 4/lls. 20-25). Note the scribe 104 is connected to the doped regions. Furthermore, the second scribe provides mechanical strength to the integrated circuit (col. 4/lls. 24-33).